## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

| RODNEY L. MOSBY,             | )     |                |      |
|------------------------------|-------|----------------|------|
| Petitioner,                  | )     |                |      |
| v.                           | )     | No. 3 05       | 0669 |
| WARDEN, GLEN TURNER, ET AL., | )     | Judge Campbell |      |
| Respondents.                 | )     |                |      |
|                              | ORDER |                |      |

The Court has before it a motion for a writ of *habeas corpus* filed by a *pro se* prisoner pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The petitioner is a prisoner at the Hardeman County Correctional Facility in Whiteville, Tennessee.

The petitioner also has submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. It appears from the petitioner's application that he lacks sufficient financial resources to pay the filing fee for this action. Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), the Clerk will file this petition *in forma pauperis*.

As provided in the Memorandum entered contemporaneously herewith, the petition and accompanying documents clearly establish that the petitioner is not entitled to federal *habeas corpus* relief. Specifically, the plaintiff's claims under *Blakely v. Washington* 542 U.S. 296 (2004) are without merit, and the remainder of his claims are both time and procedurally barred. Accordingly, the petitioner's request for federal *habeas corpus* relief is DENIED. Rule 4, Rules – Section 2254 Cases.

Should the petitioner file a timely notice of appeal from this Order, such notice shall be

The documentation accompanying the petition indicates that the petitioner is seeking relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241, 2254, and 2255. However, as explained in the accompanying Memorandum, neither § 2241 nor § 2255 are applicable to this action.

docketed as both a notice of appeal and an application for a certificate of appealability, 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Rule 22(b), Fed. R. App. P.; see Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483 (2000), which will NOT issue because the petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of a violation of a constitutional right, see Castro v. United States of America, 310 F.3d 900, 901 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2002).

Entry of this Order shall constitute the judgment in this action.

It is so ORDERED.

Todd Campbell

Chief District Judge